

**Van:** Sent by NCBI [nobody@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov]

**Verzonden:** maandag 19 maart 2007 10:50

**Aan:** info@glasscarbomer.nl

**Onderwerp:** pubmed Search Results

This message contains search results from the National Center for Biotechnology Information ([NCBI](#)) at the U.S. National Library of Medicine ([NLM](#)).

Do not reply directly to this message.

**Sender's message:** The GIC's are the lessest cytotoxic!!!

Sent on Monday, 2007 Mar 19 GMT

Select **12818558**

## Entrez pubmed Results

Item 1 of 1

■ **1:** Biomaterials. 2003 Sep; 24(21): 3853-8.

[Click here to read LinkOut](#)

### In vitro cytotoxicity of five glass-ionomer cements.

[de Souza Costa CA](#), [Hebling J](#), [Garcia-Godoy F](#), [Hanks CT](#).

Department of Physiology, School of Dentistry, University of Sao Paulo State, UNESP, Rua Humaita, SP, Araraquara, Brazil.  
casouzac@foar.unesp.br

To evaluate the cytotoxic effects of five glass-ionomer cements (GICs) on an odontoblast cell line (MDPC-23), disks of every material were prepared and divided into Group 1: Vitrebond, Group 2: Vitremer, Group 3: Fuji II LC, Group 4: Fuji IX GP, Group 5: Ketac-Molar, Group 6: Z-100 (positive control)\. In Group 7, phosphate-buffered saline solution (negative control) was applied on filter paper\. After placing the samples in the bottom of wells, the cells (30,000cells/cm(2)) were plated and incubated for 72h\. The cell number was counted, the cell morphology was assessed by scanning electron microscopy and the cell metabolism was evaluated using methyltetrazolium assay\. The statistical analysis of Kruskal-Wallis was used to determine if the scores obtained for the cell metabolism and number of cells were different at the 95% confidence level\. In groups 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 the materials decreased the cell number by 74.5%, 75.5%, 45.5%, 29.5%, 32.5%, and 88.5%, respectively\. In groups 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, the experimental GICs reduced the cell metabolism by 79%, 84%, 54%, 40%, and 42.5%, respectively\. Despite the fact that all experimental materials were cytotoxic to the MDPC-23 cells, the GICs were the least cytotoxic\. On the other hand, the RMGICs caused the highest cytophatic effects.

PMID: 12818558 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

### Related Links

[Effect of curing regime on the cytotoxicity of resin-modified glass-ionomer lining cements applied to an odontoblast-cell line.](#) [Dent Mater. 2006]

[In vitro cytotoxicity and in vivo biocompatibility of contemporary resin-modified glass-ionomer cements.](#) [Dent Mater. 2006]

[Effects of light-curing time on the cytotoxicity of a restorative resin composite applied to an immortalized odontoblast-cell line.](#) [Oper Dent. 2003]

[Cytotoxicity of conventional and modified glass ionomer cements.](#) [Oper Dent. 2003]

[Cytotoxic effects of current dental adhesive systems on immortalized odontoblast cell line MDPC-23.](#) [Dent Mater. 1999]

[See all Related Articles...](#)

--  
No virus found in this incoming message.  
Checked by AVG Free Edition.  
Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database:  
268.18.13/726 - Release Date: 18-3-2007  
15:34